



**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. _____ OF 2026
@ SLP (CRL.) NO.14645/2026**

VIKRAM SARADHANA & ANR.

APPELLANTS

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

RESPONDENT

O R D E R

- 1.** Heard.
- 2.** Leave granted.
- 3.** Appellants have been arraigned as accused in FIR No.125/2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 8 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985. On 26.04.2025, police recovered 878 Capsules of Dicyclomine HCl, Tramadol HCl and Acetaminophen (Proxyco SPAS) weighing 412.66 grams from co-accused Suresh Payala, Mansingh Gurjar and Somdutt Jat. During

the course of investigation, it was revealed that appellants, namely, Vikram Saradhana and Mahesh Saradhana operated a medical store in the name of 'Shiv Shakti Medical Store' and the appellants purchased the capsules from co-accused Gaurav Khatri and sold them to other co-accused by receiving payments sometimes in cash and sometimes through PhonePe. In other words, the role attributed to the appellants is that they operated a medical store, through which they purchased commercial quantities of capsules of Dicyclomine HCl, Tramadol HCl and Acetaminophen (Proxyco SPAS) from the supplier and sold the same to the co-accused, who were subsequently apprehended with the contraband. Undisputedly, the co-accused, namely, Suresh Payala, Mansingh Gurjar and Somdutt Jat, who were found in conscious physical possession of the contraband.

4. As to whether appellants had indulged in sale of contraband is an issue which will have to be thrashed out during the course of trial and it is based only on the statement of the co-accused, the appellants have been

apprehended. The appellants having no criminal antecedents is yet another factor which would sway in our mind to accept their prayer for grant of bail. Apart from the fact that the investigation has been completed, chargesheet has been filed and trial has commenced and as such their continued incarceration is not warranted. Hence, the appeal is allowed, impugned order is set aside and appellants are ordered to be released on bail on such terms and conditions as the jurisdictional trial court may deem fit to impose.

5. Pending application(s), if any, stands consigned to records.

.....**J.**
(ARAVIND KUMAR)

.....**J.**
(VIPUL M. PANCHOLI)

NEW DELHI;
OCTOBER 08, 2026.

ITEM NO.11

COURT NO.11

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

**Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s).14645/2026**

**[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order
dated 18-11-2025 in CRLMB No.6571/2025 passed by
the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at
Jaipur]**

VIKRAM SARADHANA & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Respondent(s)

**IA No. 214478/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 214484/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES**

**Date : 08-10-2026 This matter was called on for
hearing today.**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI**

**For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Harshit Kedawat, Adv.
Mr. Prabhsharan Singh Mohi, Adv.
Mr. Aviral Kashyap- 2395, AOR**

**For Respondent(s) : Mr. Padmesh Mishra, A.A.G.
Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar- 1132, AOR**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the
following**

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Criminal Appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order placed on the file.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NEHA GUPTA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER (NSH)