

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

M.A.Nos.1889-1891 of 2025

IN

Civil Appeal No.3640-3642 of 2025

PERIYAMMAL (DEAD THROUGH LRS) & ORS.

...Applicants

Versus

V.RAJAMANI & ANR.

...Respondents

ORDER

1. Pursuant to our order dated 19.08.2026, Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan, learned *Amicus Curiae*, has placed on record:

- a. A chart analysing reasons for pendency of execution proceedings across various courts;
- b. A chart tabulating the views of the High Courts on the constitution of District Execution Cells; and
- c. The judgments of the Ld. Single Judge of the Delhi High Court dated 05.12.2019, 05.08.2020, and 24.06.2021.

2. We note that 17 High Courts¹ have supported the constitution of District Execution Cells, while 4 High Courts² have expressed their opposition. In 3 other High Courts³, the proposal remains under consideration. A response has not been received from the High Court of Rajasthan.

3. Of the 17 High Courts in favour, 3 [Allahabad, Jharkhand and Tripura] are at an advanced stage, with the composition and the process of

Signature Not Verified

1 Allahabad, Andhra Pradesh, Bombay, Calcutta, Chhattisgarh, Delhi, Gauhati, Jammu and Kashmir, Jharkhand, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Meghalaya, Patna, Sikkim, Tripura and Uttarakhand.

2 Himachal Pradesh, Madras, Manipur, Punjab and Haryana.

3 Gujarat, Orissa and Telangana.

nomination to choose members clarified. They are requested to fill up vacancies and commence functioning at the earliest. The High Courts of Allahabad, Jharkhand and Tripura are requested to file a report on their experience of the functioning of such cells, and their impact on pendency numbers, within 3 months.

4. The remaining 14 High Courts in favour are requested to take steps at the earliest to evolve a governing framework for the Cells, and proceed to operationalize them, including by integrating into such Cells any existing mechanism for supervision of execution proceedings. They are requested to file a progress report within 2 months.
5. The 3 High Courts which are presently considering the proposal are requested to share their views before the next date of hearing.
6. We also note that some High Courts have expressed concerns regarding funding, infrastructure and appropriate administrative assistance to the District Execution Cells. The Ministry of Law and Justice, Union of India is directed to file an affidavit in this regard, setting out measures that may be taken to facilitate the functioning of these Cells, and may also nominate a designated person as the Nodal Officer for this purpose.
7. We would also request the High Courts, as an interim measure to designate the Secretary, District Legal Services Authority as the Member Secretary of the respective District Execution Cell, who is an officer in the cadre of the Civil Judge (Senior Division).
8. The High Courts shall also ensure that the Executing Courts call for affidavits of assets to be made by a judgment debtor under Form No.16A of Appendix C of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 as is required under Order XXI Rule 41 (2). The District Execution Cell, wherever formed

shall monitor the affidavits filed and carry out the following functions:-

- i. The Cell shall prepare an execution plan modelled on Schedule XVI of the Draft Commercial Court Rules, 2021 setting out the assets of the judgment debtor and formulating a plan for attachment of assets and execution, along with proposed timelines for each step. This plan shall immediately be placed before the executing court.
- ii. The executing court shall then direct attachment/execution after considering the steps proposed in the plan formulated by the Cell.
- iii. The executing court shall take the assistance of the District Executive Cell to clear any bottleneck that may arise in execution proceedings with respect to attachment, action and sale of the properties or in enforcing disbursal of amounts lying with the banks/financial institutions.

9. A Google Form link <https://forms.gle/HXfXwTxEM4W6m9q3A> has been furnished by the Amicus Curiae seeking further details from the High Courts. This Google Form may be filled up within a period of 4 weeks by the Registry of the respective High Court. The same may also be emailed to the Advocate-on-Record for the Amicus at the email address execution.sc01@gmail.com.

10. The High Courts shall authorise the Director of the State Judicial Academies to have an interaction with the learned Amicus, online as requested by him to the said officers, so as to facilitate a more coordinated implementation of the suggestions, to expedite execution.
11. The Registry shall forward one copy each of this order to all the High Courts across the country.

12. List on 20.11.2026. The matter shall be treated as part heard.

..... J.
(J. B. PARDIWALA)

..... J.
(K. VINOD CHANDRAN)

NEW DELHI
OCTOBER 15, 2026.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Miscellaneous Application Nos.1889-1891/2025 in C.A. No. 3640-3642/2025

PERIYAMMAL (DEAD THR. LRS.) & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

V. RAJAMANI & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 107226/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS & IA No.107225/2026 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION)

Date : 15-10-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) : By Courts Motion

Ms. Mrinal Kanwar- 3163 , AOR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Rahul Jain- 3794, AOR
Mr. Amit Sharma- 1781, AOR

Mr. Ninad Laud, Adv.
Mr. Zubin Dash, Adv.
Mr. Deb Ganapathy, Adv.
Mr. Vaibhav Dash, Adv.
Ms. Narain Rashika Ashok- 4322, AOR

Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan, Amicus Curiae
Mr. Shourya Dasgupta, Adv.
Mr. Madhav Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Tushar Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Pradyut Kashyap, Adv.
Ms. Aditi Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Trisha Chandran, Adv.
Mr. Kanishk Garg, Adv.
Ms. Smruthi Gangadhariah, Adv.
Mr. Aayushman Aggarwal, Adv.
Ms. Kavya Venkatesh, Adv.
Ms. Indumugi C., Adv.
Ms. Akshaya Ganpath, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Venugopal, Adv.
Ms. Tanya Srivastava, AOR

Mrs. Aishwariya Bhati, A.S.G.
Ms. Shreya Jain, Adv.
Ms. Hemadri Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Mitali, Adv.

Mr. Ram, Adv.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR
Mr. Chitrangda Rastravara, Adv.
Mrs. Rajeshwari Shankar, Adv.
Mr. Piyush Beriwal, Adv.
Mr. Shantanu Awasthi, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Varij Nayan Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Vishnu Shankar Jain- 2448, AOR

Mr. Avneesh Arputham- 2627, AOR
Mr. Ankit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Sudhakar Deshmukh- 2461, AOR

Mr. Gopal Jha, AOR
Mr. Kumar Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. Sawan Datta, Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Jha, Adv.

Mr. Ahanthem Henry, Adv.
Mr. Ahanthem Rohen Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mohan Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aniket Rajput, Adv.
Ms. Khoisnam Nirmala Devi, Adv.
Mr. Yesu Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Tanay Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Mihir, AOR

Mr. Arjun Garg- 1807, AOR
Ms. Arushi Kulshrestha, Adv.
Mr. Saaranish Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Muskan Bensla, Adv.
Ms. Mrinmoyee Das, Adv.

Mr. Siddhartha Iyer, Adv.
Mr. Aman Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Chhattresh Sahu, Adv.

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, AOR
Mrs. Shashi Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. Anamika Mishra, Adv.

Mr. P. I. Jose- 1206, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. List on 20-11-2026. The matter shall be treated as part heard.
2. Signed Order (in original) is placed on the file.

(VISHAL ANAND)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)